CS 61B Spring 2024

Discussion 05: February 19, 2024

1 Asymptotics

(a) Say we have a function findMax that iterates through an unsorted int array one time and returns the maximum element found in that array. Give the tightest lower and upper bounds $(\Omega(\cdot) \text{ and } O(\cdot))$ of findMax in terms of N, the length of the array. Is it possible to define a $\Theta(\cdot)$ bound for findMax?

Solution: Lower bound: $\Omega(N)$, Upper bound: O(N)

Because the array is unsorted, we don't know where the max will be, so we have to iterate through the entire array to ensure that we find the true max. Therefore, we know that we can never go faster than linear time with respect to the length of the array. Since the function is both lower and upper bounded by N, we can say that the function is theta-bounded by N as well $(\Theta(N))$.

- (b) Give the worst case and best case runtime in terms of M and N. Assume ping is in $\Theta(1)$ and returns an int.
- 1 for (int i = N; i > 0; i--) {
 2 for (int j = 0; j <= M; j++) {
 3 if (ping(i, j) > 64) { break; }
 4 }
 5 }

Solution: Worst: $\Theta(MN)$, Best: $\Theta(N)$

We repeat the outer loop N times, no matter what. For the inner loop, we see the amount of times we repeat it depends on the result of ping. In the best case, it returns true immediately, such that we'll only ever look at the inner loop once and then break the inner loop. In the worst case, ping is always false and we complete the inner loop M times for every value of N in the outer loop.

2 Asymptotics, Disjoint Sets

(c) Below we have a function that returns true if every int has a duplicate in the array, and false if there is any unique int in the array. Assume sort(array) is in $\Theta(N \log N)$ and returns array sorted.

```
public static boolean noUniques(int[] array) {
1
        array = sort(array);
2
        int N = array.length;
3
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i += 1) {
4
5
            boolean hasDuplicate = false;
            for (int j = 0; j < N; j += 1) {</pre>
6
                 if (i != j && array[i] == array[j]) {
7
                     hasDuplicate = true;
8
                 }
9
            }
10
            if (!hasDuplicate) return false;
11
        }
12
        return true;
13
   }
14
```

Give the worst case and best case runtime where N = array.length.

Solution: Notice that we call **sort** at the beginning of the function, which we are told runs in $\Theta(N \log N)$.

First, we consider the best case. We notice that if hasDuplicate is false after the inner loop (i.e, !hasDuplicate has truth value true) we can exit the for loop early via the return statement on line 11. Thus, the best case is when we never set hasDuplicate to be true during the first time we run the inner loop. In this case, we can return after only looping through the array once, giving us $\Theta(N \log N + N) = \Theta(N \log N)$.

For the worst case, we notice that if hasDuplicate is always set to true by the inner loop, we never return on line 11. Thus, we consider the worst case where hasDuplicate is always set to true in every loop, forcing us to have to loop fully through both the inner and outer loop. One such input is an array of all the same integer! Since we have to fully loop through both loops, our worst-case runtime is $\Theta(N \log N + N^2) = \Theta(N^2)$.

2 Disjoint Sets, a.k.a. Union Find

In lecture, we discussed the Disjoint Sets ADT. Some authors call this the Union Find ADT. Today, we will use union find terminology so that you have seen both.

(a) Assume we have nine items, represented by integers 0 through 8. All items are initially unconnected to each other. Draw the union find tree, draw its array representation after the series of connect() and find() operations, and write down the result of find() operations using WeightedQuickUnion without path compression. Break ties by choosing the smaller integer to be the root.

Note: find(x) returns the root of the tree for item x.

connect(2, 3); connect(1, 2); connect(5, 7); connect(8, 4); connect(7, 2); find(3); connect(0, 6); connect(6, 4); connect(6, 3); find(8); find(6);

Solution: find() returns 2, 2, 2 respectively. The array is [2, 2, -9, 2, 0, 2, 0, 5, 4].



A walkthrough of how we arrive at this result can be found on the website, linked here.

4 Asymptotics, Disjoint Sets

Below is an implementation of the find function for a Disjoint Set. Given an integer val, find(val) returns the root value of the set val is in. The helper method parent(int val) returns the direct parent of val in the Disjoint Set representation. Assume that this implementation only uses **QuickUnion**.

```
public int find(int val) {
1
        int p = parent(val);
2
        if (p == -1) {
3
4
            return val;
5
        } else {
            int root = find(p);
6
            return root;
7
        }
8
9
   }
```

(b) If N is the number of nodes in the set, what is the runtime of find in the worst case? Draw out the structure of the Disjoint Set representation for this worst case.

Solution: $\Theta(N)$

The worst case would occur if we have to traverse up N - 1 nodes to find the root set representative as shown below for find(0). Suppose we started out with elements 0, 1, 2, and 3. Consider the following Disjoint Set:



The worst case runtime of find is $\Theta(N)$, for find(\emptyset). Since this implementation does not use WeightQuick-Union, this could potentially arise if we unioned 0 to 1, setting 1 as the root, then unioning 1 to 2, setting 2 as the root, and finally unioning 2 to 3, setting 3 as the root (try drawing this out for yourself!). WQU solves this "spindly set" problem by ensuring that the smaller set is merged into the larger one, so when we try unioning 1 to 2, 1 must be the root and not the 2.

Note that this function also does not implement path compression, making the disjoint set more susceptible to worst cases like this.

(c) Using a function setParent(int val, int newParent), which updates the value of val's parent to newParent, modify find to achieve a faster runtime using path compression. You may add at most one line to the provided implementation.

Solution:

```
public int find(int val) {
1
2
        int p = parent(val);
        if (p == -1) {
3
            return val;
4
        } else {
5
            int root = find(p);
6
            setParent(val, root); // sets the val's parent to be the root of the set.
7
8
            return root;
        }
9
    }
10
11
```

Although our worst case would still be $\Theta(N)$ runtime as in the call to find(0) above. However, after one call to find(0), the structure of the disjoint set would change so subsequent calls to find would be completed in amortized $O(\log^*(N))$.

Here's the structure of the set after one call to find(0):



- (d) *Extra Practice:* Draw out the tree and array representation for the following WeightedQuickUnion with path compression that has 9 elements from 0 to 8. Break ties by choosing the smaller integer to be root.
 - connect(2, 3); connect(1, 2); connect(5, 7); connect(8, 4); connect(7, 2); find(3); connect(0, 6); connect(0, 6); connect(6, 3); find(8); find(6);



Solution: